

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,293	07/13/2006	Fumio Saito	2374.27	3076	
20400 75590 659012099 DENNIS G. LAPOINTE LAPOINTE LAW GROUP, PL PO BOX 1294 TARPON SPRINGS, FL 34688-1294			EXAMINER		
			EASON, MATTHEW A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,293 SAITO ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	Matthew Eason	2614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Estimation of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1-136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fitted after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period or reply is specified above, the miximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with the set or extended period for reply set of the providence of the providence of the providence of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with providence of the providence of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3 Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 July 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/US) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/10/2006, 7/9/2008.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date

 Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/586,293 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 are presented for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crane (US 1757451).
- 4. Regarding claim 1, Crane teaches the following:

A diaphragm for a loudspeaker (diaphragm a, Figs. 1-6), characterized in that a plurality of slits are formed in a diaphragm body extending from its center portion toward an outer circumference (plurality of holes are present in a curved manner from the center; Fig. 2) and a filling material made of a material different from the diaphragm body is filled in these slits (Col. 5, lines 51-57).

While Crane mentions 'holes' and not slits as claimed, mention is made that they may be "of any shape and cut or otherwise formed in any desired manner" (Col. 5, lines 10-18). Therefore, the holes anticipate the slits as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,293 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

 Regarding claim 2, Crane teaches the limitations of claim 1 as shown. Crane further teaches: the slits extend linearly or in a curved state (plurality of holes are present in a curved manner from the center; Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 3, Crane teaches the limitations of claim 1 as shown. Crane
further teaches: the filling material has a smaller Young's modulus and/or larger
internal loss than a main material of the diaphragm body (Rubber may be used,
which has a Young's modulus lower than typical diaphragm materials; Col. 5,
lines 51-57).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2614

- Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crane (US 1757451), in view of Nakamura et al. (US 4410768).
- 10. Regarding claim 4, Crane teaches the limitations of claim 1 as shown. While Crane does not teach using a thermoplastic resin, in a related field, Nakamura teaches that diaphragm bodies are typically made of thermoplastic resins (Col. 4, lines 13-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Crane, which employs holes or gaps in a curved manner with a filling material (which is not limited by Crane), by using a typical diaphragm material, such as thermoplastic resin, because it is readily available in diaphragm manufacture and its properties relating to sound propagation are well known.
- 11. Regarding claim 5, Crane teaches the limitations of claim 1 as shown. While Crane does not teach using a foaming material, in a related field, Nakamura teaches that diaphragm bodies are typically made of epoxy resins with a foaming agent (Col. 4, lines 13-30). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Crane, which employs holes or gaps in a curved manner with a filling material (which is not limited by Crane), by using a typical diaphragm material, such as epoxy resin with a foaming agent, because it is readily available in diaphragm manufacture and its properties relating to sound propagation are well known.
- Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crane (US 1757451).

Application/Control Number: 10/586,293

Art Unit: 2614

13. Regarding claim 6, Crane teaches the limitations of claim 1 as shown. Crane further teaches that its diaphragm is suitable for any application where a diaphragm is used for conversion, recording, propagation, or amplification of sound, or the conversion of other vibrations or impulses into sound waves, and to equivalent vibratory forces (Col. 6, lines 87-97). This would obviously include electromagnetic transducers, which are well known in the art to include a voice coil attached to a bobbin at the back side of a diaphragm attached to a frame with a magnetic circuit as described.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Eason whose telephone number is (571)270-7230. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM Monday-Friday.
- 15.If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on (571)272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,293 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Matthew Eason/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Brian Ensey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614 April 30, 2009